From: <u>Gray, David</u>
To: <u>Andrea Morrow</u>

Cc: Michael Honeycutt; Emily Lindley; Ryan Vise; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson

Subject:Re: Do we have a winner on the AP response?Date:Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:24:05 PM

I am good

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:18 PM, Andrea Morrow < Andrea. Morrow@tceq.texas.gov> wrote:

Any additions, corrections?

Air Quality Monitoring: Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm. Due to quick action and proper preparation by state authorities, all the ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems up and running again. As of Saturday, September 2, over 70 percent of the monitors are up and working again; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week.

As of Saturday, September 2, over 88 percent of monitors are up and working again in Corpus Christi, 85 percent in Houston, and 36 percent in Beaumont. Of the available air monitoring data collected from August 24-September 2, 2017, all measured concentrations were well below levels of health concern. Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning, and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm.

EPA has its surveillance aircraft conducting air monitoring for the plant fire. Also, EPA's mobile air-monitoring unit will be in Houston to assist with air monitoring as well. The Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer is a self-contained mobile laboratory capable of real-time sampling and of outdoor air or emissions. The instrumentation refers both to the analytical instrument and the mobile laboratory built around it.

Emergency response monitoring at the Arkema facility evacuation perimeter is being conducted. We will make those data available as we are able. So far, nothing of immediate health concern has been detected.

We have established a Unified Command with other state and federal partners, and are in the field conducting rapid needs assessments. The TCEQ will use the available technology that will best support the field activities being conducted, which may include the use of hand held air monitoring equipment.

Continue to monitor the TCEQ's Hurricane Response website for updates: https://www.tceq.texas.gov/response/hurricanes

Hurricane Response - TCEQ - www.tceq.texas.gov

www.tceq.texas.gov

Information you might need if you are affected by a tropical storm or hurricane.

From: Michael Honeycutt

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:10 PM

To: Andrea Morrow

Cc: Emily Lindley; Gray, David; Ryan Vise; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori

Wilson

Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA additions- please review

Tuesday

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:09 PM, Andrea Morrow < Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov> wrote:

Do we know when that will begin? That will make my life a lot easier!;)

From: Michael Honeycutt

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:08 PM

To: Emily Lindley

Cc: Gray, David; Andrea Morrow; Ryan Vise; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy

Miller; Lori Wilson

Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA additions- please review

Could also add TCEQ will soon begin daily updates on air quality that will be available on hurricane webpage.

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:06 PM, Emily Lindley < Emily.Lindley@tceq.texas.gov> wrote:

What about adding this info in? It's pretty good. As of Saturday, September 2, over 88 percent of monitors are up and working again in Corpus Christi, 85 percent in Houston, and 36 percent in Beaumont; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week. Of the available air monitoring data collected from August 24-September 2, 2017, all measured concentrations were well below levels of health concern. Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning, and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm.

Anyone is welcome to disagree! Just my opinion. It's going in the other statement.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:57 AM, Gray, David <<u>gray.david@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

I should have the information about on the ground monitoring around cosby in a few minutes

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:51 AM, Andrea Morrow Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov> wrote:

David, can you give me a description of what the TAGA bus does?

This is a response to the Associated Press questions:

- We have been told EPA is doing air monitoring at the Arkema plant in Crosby. Can you tell me what your monitoring has found? What chemicals in what concentrations? Where are you doing the monitoring exactly, with what instruments?
 - 2) Your data shows multiple ozone and

PM monitoring stations in Houston were knocked out during the story. Was it indeed more than half of the ozone monitors? When do you expect them to be fixed and back online? 3) Are EPA/TCEQ monitoring air quality around Houston petrochemical plants and refineries to look for potential health and safety problems? Have they deployed any mobile air monitors? (I gather these are EPA crews working in coordination with TCEQ?) If so, what have they found in the last few days near the petrochemical plants around the ship channel? If they haven't been monitoring, why not? The startup and shutdown operations typically produce heavier emissions of airborne contaminants, as we know.

> 2) Other than ozone and PM10 and PM2.5 are you monitoring for any other specific compounds? 3) What are the state of Texas and the EPA doing to monitor public health near the petrochemical plants and refineries given the extraordinary shutdown and startup pollution and the possibility of contaminants released into their neighborhoods? Will there be health monitoring? If so, by whom? If not, why not?

From: Emily Lindley

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:48

ΑM

To: Ryan Vise

Cc: Andrea Morrow; Gray, David; Michael Honeycutt; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson;

Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson

Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA additions- please

review

I added the word Arkema at the end of the 1st paragraph. I think we need to say what the TAGA bus is and what it does. I like that we got that in there. Just need to explain to the public more.

Just so I'm straight is this part of the larger statement from this morning? Or something different?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:44 AM, Ryan Vise <<u>Ryan.Vise@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>> wrote:

I'm good with the language

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:43
AM, Andrea Morrow
Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov
wrote:

I've heard from Cory, David, and Mike. OCE are you ok with the startup/shut-down language? Lori, Emily, Ryan, any changes?

Air Quality

Monitoring: Monitors

are showing

that air quality

at this time is

not concerning

and local

residents

should not be

concerned

about air

quality issues

related to the

effects of the

storm. Due to

quick action

and proper

preparation

by state authorities,

all the ambient

air quality

monitors in

the network

from south of

Corpus Christi

to Beaumont

were

protected

before the

storm. Since

then, state authorities

are working to

get the

systems up

and running

again. As of

Saturday,

September 2,

over 70

percent of the

monitors are

up and

working again;

and authorities

expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week. EPA has its surveillance aircraft conducting air monitoring for the Arkema plant fire. Also, EPA's mobile air monitoring TAGA bus will be in Houston to assist with air monitoring as well.

Emergency response monitoring at the Arkema facility evacuation perimeter is being conducted. We will make those data available as we are able. So far, nothing of immediate health concern has been detected.

The same rules apply for startup, shut-down activities however delays may occur based upon factors related to the emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages, computer system failure, etc.).

From: Gray,
David
<gray.david@epa.gov>

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:38 AM To: Michael Honeycutt Cc: Andrea Morrow; Richard Chism; Ryan Vise; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson; **Emily Lindley** Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions please review

Feel free to add that EPA has its surveillance aircraft

```
conducting air
monitoring for
the plant fire.
Also, our
mobile air
monitoring
TAGA bus will
be in Houston
to assist with
air monitoring.
Sent from my
iPhone
On Sep 3,
2017, at 11:35
AM, Michael
Honeycutt
< <u>Michael. Honeycutt@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
wrote:
      Ah.
      Missed
      that.
      On
      Sep
      3,
      2017,
      at
      11:33
      AM,
      Andrea
      Morrow
      <<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
      wrote:
            Не
            dropped
```

the

ozone question, Mike. From: Michael Honeycutt Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:32 AMTo: Andrea Morrow Cc: Richard Chism; Ryan Vise; David Gray (gray.david@epa.gov); Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson; Emily Lindley Subject: Re: Proposed

response

to

ΑP

questions

please

review On the ozone blurb, you could add that **TCEQ** and EPA send ozone notifications like we always do to subscribers of our notification systems. There was nothing unusual about this notification. On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:28

<a href="mailto:

AM, Andrea Morrow

wrote:

Okay, what do you all think of this: Air Quality **Monitoring:** Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects

of

the storm. Due to quick action and proper preparation by state authorities, all the ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems up and running again. As

of Saturday, September 2, over 70 percent of the monitors are up and working again; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next

week.

Emergency response monitoring at the

Arkema facility evacuation perimeter

is being conducted.

We will make

data available as we are able. So far, nothing of immediate health concern has been detected.

those

The same rules apply for startup, shutdown activities however delays may occur based upon factors related to the

emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages,

computer system failure, etc.).

e

From:

Michael

Honeycutt

Sent:

Sunday,

September

3,

2017

11:23

AM

To:

Richard

Chism;

Andrea

Morrow

Cc:

Ryan

Vise;

David

Gray

(gray.david@epa.gov);

Susan

Johnson;

Tracy

Miller

Subject:

Re:

Proposed

response

to

ΑP

questions

-

please

review

You

could

add

that

we

are

doing

emergency

response

monitoring

at

the

Arkema

facility

evacuation

perimeter

and

will

make

that

data

available

as

we

have

time.

So

far,

nothing

of

immediate

health

concern

has

been

detected.

.

From:

Richard

Chism

Sent:

Sunday,

2017 11:19:57 AM To: Andrea Morrow Cc: Ryan Vise; David Gray (gray.david@epa.gov); Michael Honeycutt; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller Subject: Re: Proposed response to ΑP questions please review This is directly from the draft joint response this morning. You can use

September

3,

Air

Quality

Monitoring: Monitors

are

showing

that

air

quality

at

this

time

is

not

concerning

and

local

residents

should

not

be

concerned

about

air

quality

issues

related

to

the

effects

of

the

storm.

Due

to

quick

action

and

proper

preparation

by state authorities,

all

the

ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems up and running again. As of Saturday, September 2, over 70 percent of the monitors are up

```
and
working
again;
and
authorities
expect
that
the
network
will
be
fully
operational
again
by
next
week.
Sent
from
my
iPhone
On
Sep
3,
2017,
at
11:14
AM,
Andrea
Morrow
<<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
wrote:
     Which
     is
     correct,
     65%
     or
     this:
```

Air

Quality

Monitoring:

One

of

the

many

preparations

for

Hurricane

Harvey

included

EPA,

TCEQ,

and

other

monitoring

entities

temporarily

removing

approximately

75

percent

of

the

stationary

air

monitoring

equipment

from

the

greater

Houston,

Corpus

Christi,

and

Beaumont

areas.

Since

then,

state

and

local

authorities

are

working

to

get

the

systems

up

and

running

again.

As

of

Saturday,

September

2,

over

70

percent

of

the

monitors

are

up

and

working

again;

and

authorities

expect

that

the

network

will

be

fully

operational

again

by

next

week.

Of

the

available

air

monitoring

data

collected

from

August

24-

September

2,

2017,

all

measured

concentrations

were

well

below

levels

of

health

concern.

Monitors

are

showing

that

air

quality

at

this

time

is

not

concerning

and

local

residents

should

not

be

concerned

about

air

quality

issues

related

to

the effects of the storm.

,

From:

Ryan

Vise

Sent:

Sunday,

September

3,

2017

11:07

 AM

To:

Andrea

Morrow

Cc:

David

Gray

(gray.david@epa.gov);

Richard

Chism;

Michael

Honeycutt;

Susan

Johnson;

Tracy

Miller

Subject:

Re:

Proposed

response

to

ΑP

questions

-

please

review I'm good with these answers. Sent from my iPhone On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:06 AM, Andrea Morrow

 $<\!\!\underline{Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov}\!\!>$

wrote:

```
FYI,
Cory.
He
has
deleted
the
third
question
because
he
understands
the
nature
of
the
AirNow
report.
```

I don't have

sufficient information to answer these questions. suggest we say, the TCEQ has reactivated 65 percent of our monitoring network in the hurricaneaffected areas.

(Insert

EPA

monitoring

data

here

or

explain

why

it

is

not

available)

The

same

rules

apply

for

start-

up,

shut-

down

activities

however

delays

may

occur

based

upon

factors

the emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages, computer system failure, etc.). Hourly data from the operating ozone monitors in TCEQ's network are used by the EPA to predict air quality. What you are looking at is а forecast based on onehour (snapshot) readings.

The 201 ppb you referenced

is

related to

```
not
    an
    actual
    monitored
    reading,
    it
    is
    а
    projection.
    TCEQ
    is
    aware
    of
    elevated
    ozone
    levels
    west
    of
    Houston
    which
    is
    not
    unusual
    for
    this
    time
    of
    year.
1)
You
    are
    doing
    air
    monitoring
    at
    the
    Arkema
    plant
    in
    Crosby.
    Can
    you
    tell
    me
    what
    your
    monitoring
    has
    found?
    What
    chemicals
    in
    what
```

are you doing the monitoring exactly? 2) Are EPA/TCEQ monitoring air quality around petrochemical plants and refineries looking for potential problems? Have they deployed any mobile air monitors? (1 gather these are EPA crews working in coordination with TCEQ?) lf so, what have they found in the last few days near

concentrations?

Where

the petrochemical plants around the ship channel? If they haven't been monitoring, why not? The startup and shutdown operations typically produce heavier emissions of airborne contaminants, right? 3)

right?

3)
I
saw
an
ozone
level
of
201
ppb
recorded
in
Houston
on
Friday

on
airnow.gov
and
Andrea
Morrow
of
TCEQ
told
my
colleague
Jason

Dearen

reading was recorded as а single hourly max at one monitoring station. Your ozone level for the day (95 ppb) is based on an eighthour of average, she said. But that does not deny that а single station had that maximum level, correct? What stationwas it?

> Can you tell me

that the

what hour of the day? Did any other stations Very Unhealty ozon levels on Friday or Saturday? Hourly data from the operating ozone monitors in TCEQ's network are used by the EPA to predict air quality. What you are looking at is а forecast based on onehour (snapshot) readings. The

> 201 ppb

you referenced is not an actual monitored reading, it is а projection. TCEQ is aware of elevated ozone levels west of Houston which is not unusual for this time of year.

4) What are the state of Texas and the

EPA
doing
to
monitor
public
health
near
the

petrochemical plants and

refineries given

the

extraordinary

shutdown

and

startup

pollution

and

the

possibility

of

contaminants

released

into

their

neighborhoods?

Will

there

be

health

monitoring?

If

so,

by

whom?

If

not,

why

not?